
DRAFT


Environmental Implementation Guide for Radiological Survey Procedures

February 1997



U.S. Department of Energy

Assistant Secretary for Environmernt, Safety and Health Washington, D.C. 20585



DRAFT

Environmental Implementation Guide for Radiological Survey Procedures

Office of Environmental Policy and Assistance Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety, and Health U.S. Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20581

> Draft Report for Comment February 1997

Prepared by the

Measurement Application and Development Group
of the

Health Sciences Research Division
of
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JIST OF FIGURES	. vi
LIST OF TABLES	. vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	. vii
Section 1. INTRODUCTION	1–1
1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE	1–1
1.2 INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF MANUAL	1-1
1.3 CRITERIA, GUIDELINES, AND UNITS OF MEASURE	1-5
1.3.1 Generic Guidelines	
1.3.2 Derived (Site-specific) Limits	1-6
1.4 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES	
Section 2. SITE ASSESSMENT PROCESS	2-1
2.1 IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE SITE AND MATERIAL	2-1
2.2 PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION	2-1
2.2.1 Purpose	2-1
2.2.2 History of Facility/Documentation	
2.2.3 Present Use of Facility	2-3
2.3 SITE VISIT/INSPECTION	2-3
2.4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	2-4
Section 3. SURVEY PLANNING	3–1
3.1 SELECTION OF TYPE OF SURVEY	3–1
3.1.1 Scoping	3-1
3.1.2 Characterization	
3.1.3 Remedial Action Support	3-2
3.1.4 Final Status	
3.1.5 Confirmatory/Verification	3-2
3.2 SURVEY WORK PLAN	3-5
3.3 CONSENT FOR SURVEY	
3.4 IMPLICATIONS OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES	
AND MIXED WASTES	3-5
3.4.1 Unexpected Discovery or Suspicion of Hazardous Substances .	3-7
3.4.2 Anticipated Finding of Hazardous Substances	

	3.4.3 Generation of Mixed Waste During Radiological Surveys	
	3.4.4 Remedial Actions and Mixed Waste	3–9
Section 1	SURVEY PROCEDURES	1 1
Section.4.	SURVET PROCEDURES	4-1
	4.1 SITE PREPARATION	4–1
	4.1.1 Property Boundaries/Civil Survey	
	4.1.2 Clearing to Provide Access	
	4.1.3 Reference Grid System	
	4.2 GENERAL APPROACH	
	4.2.1 Scanning	
	4.2.2 Systematic Measurements and Sampling	
	4.2.3 Biased Measurements and Sampling	
	4.2.4 Systematic Sampling/Measurement Grid Frequency	
	4.3 RADIATION MEASUREMENTS	
	4.3.1 Alpha Measurements	
	4.3.2 Beta Measurements	
	4.3.4 Subsurface Measurements (Subsurface Hole Logging)	
	4.4 SAMPLING	
	4.4.1 Removable Activity (Smears)	
	4.4.2 Soil	
	4.4.3 Water	
	4.4.4 Air	
	4.4.5 Radon	4-22
	4.4.6 Miscellaneous	4–23
	4.5 BACKGROUND MEASUREMENTS	4–23
	4.6 SURVEY OF EQUIPMENT AND SMALL ITEMS	4–28
C .: F	DADIATION DETECTODO AND INCEDIMENTATION	۲ 1
Section 5.	RADIATION DETECTORS AND INSTRUMENTATION	5-1
	5.1 RADIATION DETECTORS	5–1
	5.2 DISPLAY AND RECORDING EQUIPMENT	
	5.3 DETECTION SENSITIVITY	
	5.3.1 Static Counting Sensitivity	
	5.3.2 Scanning Sensitivity	
	5.4 APPLICATIONS	5–15
	5.5 INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION AND RESPONSE CHECK	5–17
	5.6 RADON AND THORON DETECTION	
	5.6.1 Sampling Methods	
	5.6.2 Direct Measurement of Radon	
	5.6.3 Radon Progeny Measurements	
	5.6.4 Measurement of Radon Flux	
	5.7 SPECIAL EQUIPMENT	
	5.7.1 Mobile Systems (Vehicle–Based)	5–24

DRAFT iv February 4, 1997

	5.7.2 Positioning Systems	. 5–24
	5.7.4 Aeriai kadiologicai surveys	. 5–23
Section 6.	LABORATORY METHODS AND INSTRUMENTATION	. 6–1
	6.1 INTRODUCTION	
	6.1.1 Prior Considerations	
	6.1.2 Data Quality Objectives	
	6.2 SAMPLE PREPARATION	
	6.2.1 Soil and Sediment	
	6.2.2 Water	
	6.3 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	
	6.3.1 Analysis of Smears	
	6.3.2 Analysis of Soil and Sediment	
	6.4 ANALYSIS OF WATER	6–7
Section 7.	INTERPRETATION OF SURVEY RESULTS	. . 7 –1
	7.1 DATA CONVERSION	7–1
	7.1.1 Surface Activity	
	7.1.2 Soil Radionuclide Concentration	7–3
	7.1.3 External Exposure Rate and Dose Equivalent Rate	
	7.2 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	
	7.2.1 Systematic and Random Uncertainties	
	7.2.2 Statistical Counting Uncertainty	
	7.2.3 Uncertainty Propagation	
	7.2.4 Reporting Confidence Intervals	7–7
	7.3 DETECTION SENSITIVITIES	7-9
	7.4 FORMAT FOR DATA PRESENTATION	. 7–10
	7.5 DIRECT COMPARISON OF SURVEY UNIT RESULTS WITH	
	GUIDELINE VALUES	. 7–11
	7.5.1 Calculating Average Levels	. 7–12
	7.5.2 Removable Activity	. 7–12
	7.5.3 Areas of Elevated Activity	
	7.5.4 Exposure Rates	
	7.6 STATISTICAL TESTS	7-14
	7.6.1 Preparing Data Sets from Sample and Measurement	
	Results	. 7–14
	7.6.2 Comparisons When Data are Normally Distributed and	
	Background is a Known Constant	. 7–17
	7.6.3 Nonparametric Upper 95% Confidence Limit Test	
	7.6.4 Comparing Survey-Unit Data with Background Data	. 7–20
	7.7 EVALUATING RESULTS RELATIVE TO DATA QUALITY	
	ORIFCTIVES	7_99

DRAFT v February 4, 1997

Section 8.	DATA REPORTING AND MANAGEMENT	8–1
	8.1 FIELD DATA	8–1
	8.2 DATA REPORTING	
Section 9	QUALITY ASSURANCE	9_1
Section 5.	QUALITI ADSUMANCE	5-1
	9.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	9–1
	9.2 ORGANIZATION	9-2
	9.3 PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS	9-3
	9.3.1 Qualifications	9-3
	9.3.2 Training	9-3
	9.4 QUALITY IMPROVEMENT	9–3
	9.5 CONTROL OF RECORDS AND DATA	9–3
	9.6 WORK PROCESSES	9-4
	9.6.1 Instructions and Procedures	9–4
	9.6.2 Data Quality Objectives	
	9.6.3 Field Quality	
	9.7 CONTROL OF MEASURING AND TEST EQUIPMENT	
	9.8 DATA VALIDATION	
	9.9 DESIGN	
	.10 PROCUREMENT CONTROL	
	9.10.1 Procurement Documents	
	9.10.2 Direct Purchasing	
	9.10.3 Subcontracts	
	9.10.4 Control of Nonconforming Items	
9	9.11 INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE TESTING	
	9.12 MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT	
	9.13 AUDITS	
	9.13.1 Surveillances	
	9.13.2 Internal Audits	
	9.13.3 External Audits	
	9.13.4 Corrective Actions	
,	9.14 INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT	
Section 10	. DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGY	10 1
Section 10.	DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGI	10-1
	10.1 DEFINITIONS	10–1
	10.2 ABBREVIATIONS	
	10.3 ACRONYMS	
REFEREN	CES	D 1
KEFEKEIN	CES	K−1
APPENDI	X A APPLICABLE GUIDELINES FOR PROTECTION AGAINST	
	RADIATION	

DRAFT vi February 4, 1997

APPENDIX B CALCULATIONS FOR DETECTION PROBABILITIES

APPENDIX C NON-PARAMETRIC TEST WHEN THE BACKGROUND VALUE IS NOT PRECISELY KNOWN

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1 1.2	Site Assessment Flowchart
4.1	Typical grid layout with alphanumeric grid block designation 4–5
4.2	Example of grid system for survey of site grounds using compass directions
4.3	Example of grid system for survey of site grounds using compass direction
4.4 4.5	Example survey procedure flow for survey of equipment & small items 4–29 General process for surveys for release or characterization of non-real property
5.1	Graphically represented probabilities for Type I and Type II errors in detection sensitivity for instrumentation with a background response 5–7
7.1	Example site illustrating the necessity for weighted averaging of sample results
	LIST OF TABLES
4.1	Table 4.1. Grid size required to detect contaminated circular spots
4.2	at varying confidence levels
	Radiation detectors with applications to alpha surveys 5–2
5.2.	Radiation detectors with applications to beta surveys 5–3
5.3. 5.4	Radiation detectors with applications to gamma surveys
5 5	instrumentation
0.0	scanning with alpha detectors using an audible output5–15
6.1	Typical measurement sensitivities for laboratory radiometric procedures 6-4
7.1	Areas under various intervals about the mean, $\overline{\mu}$, of a
7.2.	normal distribution with a standard deviation σ
	test (Sect. 7.5.6) for number of samples (<i>n</i>) from 1 to 150

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Measurement Applications and Development (MAD) Group at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory was the primary technical support contractor for this project. Woodrow D. Cottrell contributed significantly to the initial planning, technical content, and development of the manual. His experience and technical expertise in environmental radiological assessments formed the basis for the first draft of the manual. This project was conducted under the general supervision of Richard E. Swaja, Head of the Assessment Technology Section. ORNL/MAD staff who coordinated the text preparation, manual development, and information integration for this effort included Robert L. Coleman, John K. Williams, and Romance F. Carrier. Other ORNL/MAD staff members who provided literary and technical reviews and prepared text for portions of the document included Ray D. Foley, Richard A. Mathis, Michael E. Murray, Debbie A. Roberts, Richard E. Rodriguez, and Fred Baes. Graphics were prepared by Tony R. Stewart of the ORNL/MAD Group. The technical contributions of Richard O. Gilbert of the Pacific Northwest Laboratory and James D. Berger of Auxier and Associates are also acknowledged.

Funding and project direction were provided by the Air, Water, and Radiation Division of the Department of Energy's Office of Environmental Policy and Assistance (EH-4l). The contributions of Andrew Wallo III and Harold T. Peterson in areas associated with program management, technical oversight, and project coordination are gratefully acknowledged. Robert Baker also provided technical assistance under subcontract to DOE.